Correlation Diagrams

C734b 2008

Correlation Diagrams C734b 2008

Spin Postulate

An electron possesses an intrinsic angular momentum in addition to its normal orbital angular momentum $\mathbf{L} \equiv \mathbf{S}$

Electrons exhibit a magnetic moment $\vec{\mu}$ where

$$\vec{\mu} = -g_e \vec{S} \left(\frac{\mu_B}{\hbar} \right) = -g_e \vec{S} \left(\frac{e}{2m_e} \right)$$

where $m_e \equiv \text{mass of the electron and } \mu_B \equiv \text{Bohr magneton}$

$$\mu_B = \frac{e\hbar}{2m_e} = 0.9274 \times 10^{-23} \,\mathrm{JT}^{-1}$$

 $s = \frac{1}{2}$ for electrons and $g_e = 2.00232$

S is an angular momentum like **L** with components S_x , S_y and S_z and associated self-adjoint operators:

 $\hat{S}_x, \hat{S}_y, \hat{S}_z, \hat{S}^2$ which obey similar commutation relationships as $\hat{L}_x, \hat{L}_y, \hat{L}_z, \hat{L}^2$

 $\hat{S}_x, \hat{S}_y, \hat{S}_z$ all commute with \hat{S}^2 but not with each other

Only one component, say \hat{S}_z can have a common set of eigenfunctions with \hat{S}^2

Note: $\hat{S}_x, \hat{S}_y, \hat{S}_z, \hat{S}^2 \neq f(x, y, z)$ which means they commute with $\hat{L}_x, \hat{L}_y, \hat{L}_z, \hat{L}^2$

Total angular momentum of electrons $\equiv \vec{J} = \vec{L} + \vec{S}$ where \hat{J}_i, \hat{J}^2 obey similar commutation relationships as \hat{L}_i, \hat{L}^2

For any angular momentum \vec{A}

Can define raising and lowering operators \hat{A}^+, \hat{A}^- as

$$\hat{A}^{+} = \hat{A}_{x} + i\hat{A}_{y}$$
$$\hat{A}^{-} = \hat{A}_{x} - i\hat{A}_{y}$$

Let the eigenfunctions of \hat{A}^2 and \hat{A}_z be $|j_a, m_a\rangle$ with eigenvalues $j_a(j_a + 1)\hbar^2$ and $m_a\hbar$ respectively.

 m_a ranges from $-j_a$ to $+j_a$ in integer steps.

Can show that:
$$\hat{A}^{\pm} | j_a, m_a \rangle = \hbar \sqrt{j_a (j_a + 1) - m_a (m_a \pm 1)} | j_a, m_a \pm 1 \rangle$$

Since
$$\vec{s} = \frac{1}{2}$$
 for electrons $\langle s^2 \rangle = s(s+1)\hbar^2 = \frac{3}{4}\hbar^2$ and $\langle m_s \rangle = m_s\hbar = \pm \frac{1}{2}\hbar$

The two spin eigenvectors are: $|s,m_s\rangle = \left|\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right\rangle \equiv \alpha$ (spin up)

and
$$|\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{s}}\rangle = \left|\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}\right\rangle \equiv \beta$$
 (spin down)
 $\left\langle \frac{1}{2}, \pm \frac{1}{2} \middle| \frac{1}{2}, \pm \frac{1}{2} \right\rangle = 1$ and $\left\langle \frac{1}{2}, \pm \frac{1}{2} \middle| \frac{1}{2}, \pm \frac{1}{2} \right\rangle = 0$

Spin functions are orthonormal: normalized and orthogonal.

Spherical Symmetry for many electron atoms (N)

Hamiltonian is given by:
$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}_{o} + \hat{H}_{ee} + \hat{H}_{\vec{L}\cdot\vec{S}}$$

 \hat{H}_{o} = kinetic energy of electrons and the e⁻ - nucleus interactions

If
$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}_o$$
 alone $\Rightarrow \psi(1, 2, \dots, N) = \psi(1)\psi(2)\cdots\psi(N)$

with each one e⁻ state characterized by 4 quantum numbers, n, ℓ , m_{ℓ}, m_s</sub>

 $\hat{H}_{ee} \equiv$ electron – electron interaction which couples angular momenta of the individual electrons in 2 possible ways

Low "Z" elements (Z ~< 40)

$$\vec{L} = \sum_i \vec{\ell}_i \qquad \vec{S} = \sum_i \vec{s}_i$$

Spin-orbit interactions couples \vec{L} and \vec{S} to form $\vec{J} = \vec{L} + \vec{S}$

E Russell-Saunders coupling

High "Z" elements (Z > 40)

Orbital and spin angular momenta of each electron couples first:

 $\vec{j}_i = \vec{\ell}_i + \vec{s}_i \equiv \text{total angular momenta of each individual electron.}$

These then couple to total $\vec{J} = \sum_{i} \vec{j}_{i}$

≡ j-j coupling

Note: can have intermediate coupling for intermediate Z although L-S (Russell-Saunders) scheme is often used as a first approximation. **Note:** For low Z $\hat{H}_{ee} > \hat{H}_{\vec{L}.\vec{S}}$

For high Z
$$\hat{H}_{ee} < \hat{H}_{\vec{L}\cdot\vec{S}} \because \vec{L}\cdot\vec{S} \sim \alpha^2 Z^2$$

 $\alpha^2 \equiv$ fine-structure constant =7.29735 x 10⁻³ ~1/137

Coupled energy states in Russell-Saunders coupling are called **multiplets** and these are described by spectral terms of the form ${}^{2S+1}L$

spin multiplicity \equiv 2S+1

How to get L or S?

Given any angular momenta \vec{A}_1 and \vec{A}_2

$$\vec{A} = A_1 + A_2, A_1 + A_2 - 1, \dots |A_1 - A_2|$$

 $m_a = -A, -A + 1, \dots, +A$

If there are > 2 angular momenta, couple A_1 and $A_2 \rightarrow A_{12}$, then $A_{12} + A \rightarrow A_{123}$, etc.

The effect of spin-orbit interactions is to split the multiplets into their components with term symbols: ${}^{2S+1}L_J$

Spin-orbit splitting: $\Delta E_{\vec{L}\cdot\vec{S}} = \frac{1}{2}\xi(L,S)[J(J+1) - L(L+1) - S(S+1)]$ where $\xi(L,S) \equiv$ spin-orbit coupling constant > 0 for < ½-filed shells

 \rightarrow smallest J lies lowest in energy

If $\xi < 0$ for $> \frac{1}{2}$ -filled shells \rightarrow largest J lies lowest in energy

= Hund's third rule

Example:
$$(ns)^{1}(np)^{1}$$
 configuration $l_{1} = 0; l_{2} = 1 \implies L = 1$
 $s_{1} = \frac{1}{2}; s_{2} = \frac{1}{2} \implies S = 1, 0$

 \Rightarrow terms are ³P, ¹P

When S = 0, L = 1, $J = 1 \implies {}^{1}P_{1}$

When S = 1, L = 1, J = 2,1,0 $\implies {}^{3}P_{2,1,0}$ Correlation Diagrams C734b 2008



-**H**_{ee} has 2 parts: a Coulomb repulsion J and an exchange interaction $\pm K$ which is non-classical and is a consequence of the Pauli-Exclusion Principle which requires the total wave function to be antisymmetric with respect to the interchange of two spin $\frac{1}{2}$ particles (electrons which are fermions).



Intermediate Crystal Fields (low Z elements)

Let $\mathbf{H}_{CF} \equiv$ term in the Hamiltonian which describes the electrostatic interaction with the surrounding ions or ligands.

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathrm{if} & \hat{\mathrm{H}}_{\mathrm{CF}} > \hat{\mathrm{H}}_{\mathrm{ee}} \Rightarrow & \mathrm{strong\ crystal\ field} \\ \\ \mathrm{if} & \hat{\mathrm{H}}_{\mathrm{ee}} > \hat{\mathrm{H}}_{\mathrm{CF}} > \hat{\mathrm{H}}_{\vec{\mathrm{L}}\cdot\vec{\mathrm{S}}} \Rightarrow & \mathrm{intermediate\ crystal\ field} \\ \\ \mathrm{if} & \hat{\mathrm{H}}_{\mathrm{CF}} < \hat{\mathrm{H}}_{\vec{\mathrm{L}}\cdot\vec{\mathrm{S}}} \Rightarrow & \mathrm{weak\ crystal\ field} \end{array}$$

-Consider an atomic term with angular momentum **L**. A representation, D_L , for any group of proper rotations can be found using angular momentum eigenfunctions: spherical harmonics $\{Y_L^M\}$ as a 2L+1 degenerate basis set.

Note: here D_L instead of Γ_L is used for historical reasons.

Can show:
$$\hat{R}(\alpha)Y_{L}^{M}(\theta,\phi) = Y_{L}^{M}(R^{-1}\{\theta,\phi\})$$

= $Y_{L}^{M}(\theta,\phi-\alpha) = e^{-iM\alpha}Y_{L}^{M}(\theta,\phi)$

This means each member is transformed into itself multiplied by a numerical coefficient $e^{-iM\alpha}$

$$\therefore D_{L}(\alpha) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-iL\alpha} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i(L-1)\alpha} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & e^{iL\alpha} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \chi(D_{L}(\alpha)) = e^{-iL\alpha} \sum_{p=0}^{2L} e^{i\alpha\alpha} \qquad = e^{-iL\alpha} \frac{\left(e^{i(2L+1)\alpha} - 1\right)}{e^{i\alpha} - 1} \qquad \qquad \times \frac{e^{-\frac{i\alpha}{2}}}{e^{-\frac{i\alpha}{2}}}$$

a geometric progression

Correlation Diagrams C734b 2008

$$\Rightarrow \chi(D_L(\alpha)) = \frac{\sin\left[\frac{(2L+1)\alpha}{2}\right]}{\sin\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)}$$

Can calculate the character system for any group of rotations for any L, and if reducible, can do this in the usual way into a direct sum of IRs

Note: for
$$\chi(E)$$
 As $\alpha \to 0$ $\lim_{\alpha \to 0} \frac{\sin \frac{\left[(2L+1)\alpha\right]}{2}}{\sin\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)} = \lim_{\alpha \to 0} \frac{(2L+1)\alpha}{\alpha} = 2L+1$

Splitting of states of angular momentum L
in an intermediate crypted field
$$\chi \left[D_{L}(\alpha) \right] = \frac{\sin \left[(L + \pm) \alpha \right]}{\sin \left(\pm \alpha \right)}$$

Therefore:

$$\chi(c_{2}) = \frac{\operatorname{dim}\left[\left[L + \frac{t}{2}\right)\pi\right]}{\operatorname{dim}\left(\frac{t}{2}\pi\tau\right)} = (-1)^{L}$$

$$\chi(c_{3}) = \frac{\operatorname{dim}\left[\left[L + \frac{t}{2}\right)\frac{2}{3}\pi\right]}{\operatorname{dim}\left(\pi/3\right)} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } L = 0, 3, \cdots \\ 0 & \text{for } L = 1, 4, \cdots \\ -1 & \text{for } L = 2, 5, \cdots \end{cases}$$

$$\chi(c_{4}) = \frac{\operatorname{dim}\left[\left[L + \frac{t}{2}\right)\frac{\pi}{2}\right]}{\operatorname{dim}\left(\pi/4\right)} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } L = 0, 1, 4, \cdots \\ -1 & \text{for } L = 2, 5, \cdots \end{cases}$$

$$\chi(c_{4}) = \frac{\operatorname{dim}\left[\left[L + \frac{t}{2}\right)\frac{\pi}{2}\right]}{\operatorname{dim}\left(\pi/4\right)} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } L = 0, 1, 4, 5, \cdots \\ -1 & \text{for } L = 2, 3, 6, 7, \cdots \end{cases}$$

$$\chi(c_{4}) = 2L + 1$$

$$\frac{\operatorname{Matrix}}{S} = \frac{D_{L}}{D_{0}} = \frac{\operatorname{Direct sum in cubic field}}{A_{1}}$$

S	D.	A
P	D,	τ_1
Ð	\mathcal{D}_2	E O T2
F	\mathcal{D}_3	$A_2 \oplus T_1 \oplus T_2$
G	\mathbb{D}_{ψ}	$A_1 \oplus E \oplus T_1 \oplus T_2$

The splitting of states in lower symmetries is given in correlation tables an example of which is in Table

16

Table shows $\chi(D_L)$ for $\alpha = \pi/2$, $2\pi/3$, and π and the splitting of the free ion states in O_h symmetry for $L \ge 2$

Splittings in lower symmetries deduced from correlation tables, or by finding direct sums using common classes in both groups.

Parity

If inversion, i, is a group operation then the wave function ψ will have definite parity.

Parity \equiv eigenvalue of the inversion operator.

$$\hat{i}\psi = \lambda\psi = \pm\psi$$

If
$$\hat{i} \psi = +\psi \implies$$
 even or gerade \equiv g-parity

If
$$\hat{i} \psi = -\psi \implies$$
 odd or ungerade \equiv u-parity

The parity of $Y_{\ell}^{m}(\theta, \phi) = (-1)^{\ell}$

$$s \quad p \quad d \quad f$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \ell = \quad 0 \quad 1 \quad 2 \quad 3$$

$$parity = \quad +1 \quad -1 \quad +1 \quad -1$$

For several electrons: $\psi(1,2,3,\ldots,N) = \psi(1)\psi(2)\cdots\psi(N)$

parity =
$$\prod_{i} (-1)^{\ell_{i}} = (-1)^{\sum_{i} \ell_{i}}$$

This means the parity is determined by the electron configuration, **NOT** on the total orbital angular momentum, L.

Example:

nsnp \Rightarrow parity = $(-1)^{0+1} = -1 \Rightarrow$ all terms are u – states

$$nd^2 \Rightarrow parity = (-1)^{2+2} = +1 \Rightarrow all terms are g-states$$

 $^{npn'p} \Rightarrow parity = (-1)^{1+1} = +1 \Rightarrow all terms are g-states$

Now, if
$$i \in G$$
 and the parity is even $\Rightarrow \chi [D_L^+(iR)] = \chi [D_L^+(iR)]$
If the parity is odd $\Rightarrow \chi [D_L^-(iR)] = -\chi [D_L^-(iR)]$

Character table will have the form given by the following example for O_h

$$O_h = O \otimes C_i$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{array}{c|c} O_h & \{O\} & i\{O\} \\ \Rightarrow g & \chi(O) & \chi(O) \\ u & \chi(O) & -\chi(O) \end{array}$$

Therefore, need only consider {O} and work out parities later.

Correlation Diagrams C734b 2008

Example:

- a) Into what states does the Russell-Saunders term d^2 :³F split in O_h symmetry?
- b) What is the effect of a D_3 trigonal distortion?

a) ${}^{3}F \rightarrow L = 3$, S = 1. Since S is unaffected by electrostatic fields (only by magnetic fields), triplet terms in the free ion remain triplets in O_h symmetry

Parity = $(-1)^{2+2} = +1 \rightarrow \text{g terms.}$

From table given for $D_L L = 3$: states are ${}^{3}A_{2g}$, ${}^{3}T_{1g}$, ${}^{3}T_{2g}$

b) Select classes that are common to both groups and reduce the IR from the group of higher symmetry in the group of lower symmetry

From character tables:



This is the way correlation tables are derived. Procedure can be used if such tables are not available.

Correlation Diagrams C734b 2008

This table shows how the representations of Uh are re-labelled or reduced when the symmetry is lowered. For a more extensive set see Table X-14 in Molecular Vibrations by E.B. Wilson, Jr., J.C. Decius, and P.C. Cross, Mc Graw Hill, New York, 1955.

Oh	0	Td	D _{4h}	D 2 d	C40	C _{2v}	D34	<i>D</i> ₃	C2h
A 10	A ₁	A1	Alg	A ₁	A	A_1	A 10	Λ_1	Ao
A20	A2	A2	Big	B_1	<i>B</i> ₁ .	A_2	A 20	A2	Bo
Ea	E	E	A10+ B10	$A_1 + B_1$	$A_1 + B_1$	$A_1 + A_2$	E,	E	$A_0 + B_0$
Tia	T_1	·TI	$A_{20} + E_0$	$A_2 + E$	$A_2 + E$	$A_2 + B_1 + B_2$	$A_{20} + E_0$	$A_2 + E$	$A_{g} + 2B_{g}$
T20	T_2	T_2	$B_{2g} + E_{g}$	$B_2 + E$	$B_2 + E$	$A_1 + B_1 + B_2$	$A_{10} + E_0$	$A_1 + E$	$2A_{o}+B_{g}$
AIN	AI	Az	AIN	B_1	A2	A2	AIH	A_1	Au
Azu	Az	A	BIN	A_1	B_2	A1	A2w	A ₂	B_u
Eu	E	E	$A_{14} + B_{14}$	$A_1 + B_1$	$A_{2} + B_{2}$	$A_1 + A_2$	Eu	E	$A_{\mu} + B_{\mu}$
Tw	TI	T_{2}	$A_{2H} + E_{H}$	$B_2 + E$	$A_1 + E$	$A_1 + B_1 + B_2$	$A_{2u} + E_u$	$A_2 + E$	$A_u + 2B_u$
T24	T_2	T_1	$B_{2u} + E_u$	$A_2 + E$	$B_1 + E$	$A_2 + B_1 + B_2$	$A_{1u} + E_u$	$A_1 + E$	$2A_u + B_u$

Strong Crystal Fields

-one where electrostatic interactions due to ion surrounding > electron-electron interactions in ion.

 \rightarrow Consider the effect on <u>free ion electron configurations</u> and deduce states and their degeneracies

Later: will correlate "strong" to "intermediate CFs.

In O_h symmetry 5d orbitals $\rightarrow t_{2g} (d_{xy}, d_{yz}, d_{xz}) + e_g (d_{z2}, d_{x2-y2})$ and $E(t_{2g}) < E(e_g)$ since these orbitals "point" at the ligands.

Opposite scenario occurs in T_d symmetry where $E(e_g) \le E(t_{2g})$

To determine states in a strong field, use **Bethe's method of Descending Symmetry**

Method based on:

(i) Electrostatic fields don't affect spin

(ii) If $\psi(1,2) = \psi^i(1)\psi^j(2)$ and $\psi^i(1)$ forms a basis for Γ_i and $\psi^j(2)$ forms a basis for Γ_j means $\psi^i(1)\psi^j(2)$ forms a basis for the direct product $\Gamma^i \otimes \Gamma^j$

Due to Pauli Exclusion Principle:

(1) 2 electrons in the same orbital generates a singlet state only.

(2) 2 electrons in different orbitals generates a singlet and a triplet state.

Example:

Find all states that forma d^2 configuration in a strong field of O_h symmetry. Correlate with those of the free ion and those of an ion in an intermediate field.

Configurations are $(t_{2g})^2$, $(t_{2g})^1(e_g)^1$ and $(e_g)^2$

Parity of d^2 terms \equiv g. Simply use O character table to reduce direct products

O character table:

0	E	8C ₃	3C ₂	$6C_4$	$6C_2$
A_1	1	1	1	1	1
A_2	1	1	1	-1	-1
Е	2	-1	2	0	0
T_1	3	0	-1	1	-1
T_2	3	0	-1	-1	1

Consider $(e_g)^2 \rightarrow 6$ states: 2 singlets when the 2 electrons in the same e_g orbital, and 1 singlet and 1 triplet when they are in different e_g orbitals

6 state functions are contained in the direct product of $e_g \otimes e_g$

```
e_{g} \otimes e_{g} reduces in O to a_{1} \oplus a_{2} \oplus e
```

Don't know which are singlets and triplets.

Bethes's method is to lower the symmetry until all representations **in the direct product** are one-dimensional

Examine the Correlation Tables

In D_{4h} symmetry: $A_{1g} \rightarrow A_{1g}$; $A_{2g} \rightarrow B_{1g}$; $E_g \rightarrow A_{1g} + B_{1g}$

• $e_{1g} \rightarrow a_{1g} + b_{1g}$ orbitals; that is, they split.

$$\therefore e_g^2 \rightarrow a_{1g}^2 \rightarrow {}^1A_{1g}$$
$$\rightarrow a_{1g}^1 b_{1g}^1 \rightarrow {}^1B_{1g}, {}^3B_{1g}$$
$$\rightarrow b_{1g}^2 \rightarrow {}^1A_{1g}$$

Since the electrostatic field does not affect spin means that the ${}^{3}B_{1g}$ state in D_{4h} must have come from ${}^{3}A_{2g}$ state in O_{h} . All other states must be singlets.

 $\implies \qquad \text{in } O_h \ d^2 \rightarrow {}^1A_{1g}, {}^3A_{2g}, {}^1E_g$

Total degeneracy = 6 as expected (= (2S+1)x state degeneracy = 1x1 + 3x1 + 1x2)

Next: do t_{2g}^2 configuration in O_h

Reduce in C_{2h}



$$\therefore t_{2g} \otimes t_{2g} (O_h) \rightarrow (a_g \oplus a_g \oplus b_g) \otimes (a_g \oplus a_g \oplus b_g) (C_{2h})$$

Put in 2 electrons:

$$a_{g}^{2}(1) \rightarrow^{1}A_{g}$$

$$a_{g}^{1}(1)a_{g}^{1}(2) \rightarrow^{1}A_{g},^{3}A_{g}$$

$$a_{g}^{1}(1)b_{g}^{1} \rightarrow^{1}B_{g},^{3}B_{g}$$

$$a_{g}^{1}(2)b_{g}^{1} \rightarrow^{1}B_{g},^{3}B_{g}$$

$$a_{g}^{2}(2) \rightarrow^{1}A_{g}$$

$$b_{g}^{2} \rightarrow^{1}A_{g}$$

We are looking for triplet state(s) in C_{2h} that transform as ${}^{3}A_{g} \oplus {}^{3}B_{g} \oplus {}^{3}B_{g}$

In O_h this **must** be the T_{1g} state

Therefore, states are: ${}^{1}A_{1g} \oplus {}^{1}E_{g} \oplus {}^{3}T_{1g} \oplus {}^{1}T_{2g}$ in O_h Total degeneracy = 1x1 + 1x2 + 3x3 + 1x3 = 15

Lastly: $t_{2g}^{1}e_{g}^{1}$.

Method of descending symmetry is not necessary since both singlets and triplets are allowed (t_{2g} , e_g are different orbitals)

$$t_{2g} \otimes e_g \rightarrow t_{1g} \oplus t_{2g} \text{ in } O_h$$

Therefore, states are: ${}^{1}T_{1g}, {}^{3}T_{1g}, {}^{1}T_{2g}, {}^{3}T_{2g}$

Total degeneracy = 1x3 + 3x3 + 1x3 + 3x3 = 24

Application of the method of descending symmetry to the configuration d^2 in O_h symmetry.

Point Group	Config- uration	Direct Product Representation	Irreducible Representations	Allowed I States	Degen eracy
Oh	eg ²	$E_g \otimes E_g$	$A_{1g} \oplus A_{2g} \oplus E_{g}$		6
D _{4h}	9		$A_{lg} = B_{lg} = A_{lg} \oplus B_{lg}$	100	
	a1g ²	$A_{1g} \! \otimes \! A_{1g}$	A _{1g}	¹ A _{1g}	
	a _{1g} b _{1g}	$\mathrm{A}_{1g} {\otimes} B_{1g}$	B _{1g}	${}^{1}B_{1g} {}^{3}B_{1g}$	
	b1g ²	$B_{1g} \otimes B_{1g}$	Alg	¹ A _{1g}	
O'n				${}^{1}A_{1g} {}^{3}A_{2g} {}^{1}E_{g}$	6
O'n	t2g ²	$T_{2g} \otimes T_{2g}$	$A_{1g} \oplus E_g \oplus T_{1g} \oplus T_{2g}$		15
C _{2h}			$\begin{vmatrix} A_g & A_g \oplus B_g & A_g \oplus B_g \oplus B_g \\ & A_g \oplus A_s \oplus B_s \end{vmatrix}$		
	a _g ²	A _g ⊗A _g	A _g	¹ A _g	
	agag'	A _g ⊗A _g	Ag	$^{1}A_{g}, ^{3}A_{g}$	1
	ag' ²	A _g ⊗A _g	Ag	¹ A _g	
	agbg	A _g ⊗B _g	Bg	${}^{1}B_{g} {}^{3}B_{g}$	
	ag'bg	A _g ⊗B _g	Bg	${}^{1}B_{g} {}^{3}B_{g}$	
1	b _g ²	B _g ⊗B _g	Ag	¹ A _g	
Oh				$^{1}A_{1g}^{1}E_{g}^{3}T_{1g}^{1}T_{2g}$	15
Oh	t _{2g} e _g	$T_{2g} \otimes E_g$	$T_{1g} \oplus T_{2g}$	$^{1}T_{1g}^{3}T_{1g}^{1}T_{2g}^{3}T_{2g}$	24

31

Correlation Diagrams

Connects strong field states to intermediate field states

Rules:

- 1.) Non-crossing rule: states of same symmetry and spin multiplicity may not cross.
- 2.) Hund's rules: a) states of highest spin multiplicity lie lowest in energyb) terms with the same S, the one with highest orbital L lies lowest.

Rule's apply strictly only to ground states.



Next: consider an nd⁸ configuration

Need to observe 2 new principles.

1.) doubly occupied orbitals contribute A_{1g} to direct products and 0 to S and M_S due to the Pauli Exclusion Principle.

2.) Account for spin-pairing energy. Electrons in degenerate levels tend to have unpaired spins whenever possible.

It is an empirical fact that spin-pairing in e_g orbitals requires more energy than in the t_{2g} orbitals

$$\therefore d^8: \qquad E(t_{2g}^6 e_g^2) < E(t_{2g}^5 e_g^3) < E(t_{2g}^4 e_g^4)$$
$$\therefore \# e_g \text{ pairs are: } 0 \qquad 1 \qquad 2$$



 $\Rightarrow E(e_{\sigma}^2) < E(e_{\sigma}t_{2\sigma}) < E(t_{2\sigma}^2)$

Therefore d^8 behaves as if, relative to d^2 , ordering of the t_{2g} and e_g levels have been inverted.

Means, the correlation diagram for d⁸ is like that for d² but with the ordering of the high-field states inverted.

Can show: $d^{10-n}(O_h)$ like $d^n(T_d)$ and $d^n(O_h)$ like $d^{10-n}(T_d)$