

Electrochemistry is the study of the interconversion of electrical and chemical energy >Using chemistry to generate electricity involves using a Voltaic Cell or Galvanic Cell (battery) >Conversely, using electricity to cause chemical changes involves using an Electrolytic Cell Both Voltaic and Electrolytic Cells have the same underlying theory but they will be considered separately







One mole of electron corresponds to 96480 C
$\it EMF$ is measured using a voltmeter. The potential energy difference is commonly called the cell potential, ${\rm E}_{\rm cell}$
In the diagram before there is a salt bridge. Why?
The salt bridge, which connects the two beakers, is typically some inert solution that does not take place in the redox reaction (sodium sulfate, potassium nitrate, etc)
As the reaction occurs, there is a build up of cation concentration at the Zn anode, and a decrease in cation concentration at the Cu electrode
>Therefore, anions migrate through the salt bridge from the Cu side to the Zn side, and cations pass in the opposite direction, to preserve charge balance





Experimentally, it is found that the voltage and direction of current flow depends on the electrode materials, temperature and concentration of ions involved. It is useful therefore to define *standard conditions*.

>Concentration of ions = 1M (for example, 1 M $ZnSO_4$)

➤Temperature = 25°C Pressure = 1 atm

In C020 we will not examine the concentration effect. For those who are interested, read about the Nernst equation M&H p. 486 - 489

Cell potentials measured under standard conditions are referred to as standard cell potentials E°_{cell} (sometimes E°)





Thus, E_{cell}° = +0.762 V = $E_{red}^{\circ} + E_{ox}^{\circ}$

By definition, E_{red}° of the SHE = 0 V so E_{ox}° = +0.762 V

However it must be remembered that E°_{ox} corresponds to the oxidation of Zn(s)

 $Zn(s) \rightarrow Zn^{2+}(aq) + 2e^{-} E^{o}_{ox} = +0.762 V$

Since E_{red}° = - E_{ox}° we can write the following: essentially flip the reaction to get the reduction potential

 $Zn^{2+} + 2e^{-} \rightarrow Zn(s)$ $E^{o}_{red} = -0.762 \text{ V}$

Thus, if electrons were present in the reference cell comprised of zinc and SHE they have a choice. Will they go to H^+ or will they go to $Zn^{2+?}$

 $Zn^{2+}(aq) + 2e^{-} \rightarrow E^{o}_{red} = -0.762$

 $2H^+(1M) + 2e^- \rightarrow H_2(g)(1 \text{ atm}) \ E^o_{red}$ =0.000 V



TABLE 18.1 St	tandard Reduction Potentials at	25°C		
	Reduction Half-Reaction		E° (V)	
Stronger oxidizing agent	$\begin{split} F_{2}(g) &+ 2 \ e^{-} \\ H_{2}Q_{1}(g) &+ 2 \ H^{*}(ag) + 2 \ e^{-} \\ M_{3}Q_{1}^{-}(ag) + 8 \ H^{*}(ag) + 2 \ e^{-} \\ C_{3}Q_{2}^{-}(ag) + 4 \ H^{*}(ag) + 6 \ e^{-} \\ O_{3}(g) &+ 4 \ H^{*}(ag) + 6 \ e^{-} \\ B_{3}(g) + 2 \ e^{-} \\ B_{3}(g) + 2 \ e^{-} \\ B_{3}(g) + 2 \ e^{-} \\ C_{3}(g) + 2 \ H^{*}(ag) + e^{-} \\ C_{3}(g) + 2 \ H^{*}(ag) + 2 \ e^{-} \\ I_{3}(g) + 2 \ e^{-} \\ C_{3}(g) + 2 \ H^{*}(ag) + 2 \ e^{-} \\ C_{3}(g) + 2 \ H^{*}(ag) + 2 \ e^{-} \\ C_{3}(g) + 2 \ H^{*}(ag) + 2 \ e^{-} \\ S_{3}(g) + 2 \ H^{*}(ag) +$	$\begin{array}{l} \longrightarrow 2 \ F \ (aq) \\ \longrightarrow 2 \ H_{\gamma}O(t) \\ \longrightarrow Mr^{2\gamma}(aq) + 4 \ H_{\gamma}O(t) \\ \longrightarrow 2 \ CT \ (aq) \\ \longrightarrow 2 \ CT \ (aq) \\ \longrightarrow 2 \ CT \ (aq) \\ \longrightarrow 2 \ H_{\gamma}O(t) \\ \longrightarrow 4 \ OH(t) \\ \longrightarrow 4 \ OH(t) \\ \longrightarrow 4 \ OH(t) \\ \longrightarrow Sr^{2\gamma}(aq) \\ \longrightarrow Sr^{2\gamma}(aq) \end{array}$	2.87 1.78 1.51 1.36 1.33 1.23 1.09 0.80 0.77 0.70 0.70 0.54 0.40 0.34 0.15	Weaker reducing agent
	2 H*(aq) + 2 e ⁻	\longrightarrow H ₂ (g)	0.15	
	$Pb^{3+}(aq) + 2e^{-}$ $Ni\hat{r}^{*}(aq) + 2e^{-}$ $Cd^{2+}(aq) + 2e^{-}$ $Fe^{2+}(aq) + 2e^{-}$ $Zar^{2+}(aq) + 2e^{-}$ $2H_2O(l) + 2e^{-}$	$\begin{array}{l} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Pb}(s) \\ \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ni}(s) \\ \longrightarrow \operatorname{Cd}(s) \\ \longrightarrow \operatorname{Fe}(s) \\ \longrightarrow \operatorname{Zn}(s) \\ \longrightarrow \operatorname{H}_2(g) + 2 \operatorname{OH}^-(aq) \end{array}$	-0.13 -0.26 -0.40 -0.45 -0.76 -0.83	
Weaker oxidizing	$Al^{3+}(aq) + 3 e^{-}$ $Mg^{2+}(aq) + 2 e^{-}$ $Na^{+}(aq) + e^{-}$ $Li^{+}(aq) + e^{-}$	\longrightarrow Al(s) \longrightarrow Mg(s) \longrightarrow Na(s) \longrightarrow Li(s)	-1.66 -2.37 -2.71 -3.04	Stronger reducing agent

>We showed how the $Zn^{2+}|Zn$ half-potential was determined. All half-potentials of all the other species in the table above were determined in the same way: by comparing against the SHE

>To construct a cell using any two of the reduction half-potentials, one must be reversed to correspond to an oxidation.

Returning to the Cu/Zn cell, it is clearer now why the reaction was written as: (Zn oxidized; Cu^{2+} reduced)

Cell notation: Zn|Zn²⁺||Cu²⁺|Cu

From the table we have the relevant potentials:

Zn²+ + 2e⁻ →	Zn	E° _{red} = -0.76 V
$Cu^{2+} + 2e^{-} \rightarrow$	Cu	E ^o _{red} = +0.34 V

The most positive reduction potential is the one that occurs, which is the one with Cu involved.

This is because Cu^{2+} is more likely to be reduced than Zn^{2+} or Cu^{2+} is a stronger oxidizing agent.

Since Cu^{2+} is the species being reduced, we must flip the zinc reduction half-reaction to convert it to an oxidation.

 $Zn \rightarrow Zn^{2+} + 2e^{-}$ $E_{ox}^{o} = +0.76 V$

Therefore, $E_{cell}^{o} = E_{red}^{o} + E_{ox}^{o} = +0.34 + 0.76 = +1.10 \text{ V}$

Because E^{o}_{cell} is positive, the reaction will go as written; that is, the reaction will be spontaneous

How about the cell?: Cu|Cu²⁺||Zn²⁺|Zn

$$Zn^{2+} + 2e^{-} \rightarrow Zn$$
 $E^{o}_{red} = -0.76 V$
 $Cu \rightarrow Cu^{2+} + 2e^{-}$ $E^{o}_{ox} = -0.34 V$

This cell potential would be -1.10 V, which means the reaction would not proceed as written spontaneously. In fact the reverse would occur.

Example:

Calculate E°_{cell} for the voltaic cell: I-| I2 || Fe3+ | Fe2+

 $2Fe^{3+}(aq) + 2I^{-}(aq) \rightarrow 2Fe^{2+}(aq) + I_2(s)$

From the table we find:

 $2Fe^{3+} + 2e^{-} \rightarrow 2Fe^{2+}$ $E^{o}_{red} = +0.77 V$

 $I_2 + 2e^- \rightarrow 2I^ E^o_{ox} = +0.53 \text{ V}$

Note: NEVER multiply the voltage by the coefficients of the balanced equation!!! This is because the voltage is related to the energy of a mole of electrons transferred

The more positive reaction will go as written.

The other reaction must be reversed to be an oxidation; that is, $2I^- \rightarrow I_2 + 2e^- E^o_{ox} = -0.53V$

Therefore $E_{red}^{\circ} = E_{red}^{\circ} + E_{ox}^{\circ} = +0.77 + (-0.53) = +0.24 V$ The reaction will proceed spontaneously, as written

Note: a voltaic cell based on the reverse reaction will **not** work as there the

cell potential would be -0.24 V

Example:Determine if the following reaction is spontaneous $Zn^{2+} + Fe \rightarrow Zn + Fe^{2+}$ This can be written as: $Fe|Fe^{2+}||Zn^{2+}|Zn$ From the table we find: $Zn^{2+} + 2e^{-} \rightarrow Zn$ $E^{o}_{red} = -0.76 V$ $Fe^{2+} + 2e^{-} \rightarrow Fe$ $E^{o}_{red} = -0.76 V$ The reaction shows Fe being oxidized so turn it around: $Fe \rightarrow Fe^{2+} + 2e^{-}$ $E^{o}_{ox} = +0.45 V$ Therefore, $E^{o}_{cell} = E^{o}_{red} + E^{o}_{ox} = -0.76 + 0.45 = -0.31 V$ Since $E^{o}_{cell} < 0$, the reaction will NOT spontaneous occur as written.The backwards reaction will in fact take place







As a voltaic cell the following reactions are taking place: Anode: $E_{ox}^{o} = +0.36 \vee Pb(s) + SO_{4}^{2-} \rightarrow PbSO_{4}(s) + 2e^{-}$ Cathode: $E_{red}^{o} = +1.69 \vee PbO_{2}(s) + H^{+} + 2e^{-} \rightarrow PbSO_{4}(s) + 2H_{2}O$ Cell notation: $Pb|PbSO_{4}||PbO_{2}|PbSO_{4}$ $E_{cell}^{o} = \sim +2V$ and six of these are connected in series to provide a car battery of +12 V Interesting notes: >As a car battery discharges, the level of $H_{2}SO_{4}$ decreases, as does the density of the electrolyte. Some batteries have a green "eye" that lets you estimate this condition... when the electrolyte is dense the eye floats up and appears green. >PbSO_{4}(s) coats the cathode as the battery discharges. Thus the more discharged the battery is, the thicker the coating, and the less contact with the electrolyte. If you have a dead battery and wait a few minutes, you can crank your engine again ...the electrolyte needs time to diffuse through the coating Again, the cell potential does not tell you the rate of the chemical reaction. Battery makers for automobiles aim for the largest number of Cold Cranking Amps, a measure of how many Coulombs per second can be produced ≻To recharge the battery, the products of the discharge needs to be converted back to starting materials (electrolytic cell)

Cathode E°_{red} = -0.36 V PbSO₄(s) + 2e⁻ \rightarrow Pb(s) + SO₄²⁻

Anode E_{ox}° = -1.69 V PbSO₄(s) + 2H₂O \rightarrow PbO₂(s) + 4H⁺ 2e⁻ + SO₄²⁻

Cell notation: PbSO₄ | PbO₂ || PbSO₄ | Pb

>Note that in recharge mode (electrolytic cell) the anode and cathode have switched places!

Nonetheless, the anode is always the electrode where oxidation occurs

 $>E_{cell}^{\circ}$ -2V, which indicates the reaction is not spontaneous (expected). Therefore to recharge we must apply an external voltage of at least 2 V to force this undesirable reaction to occur. When a redox reaction is driven by electricity, it is called **electrolysis**

>Recharging batteries is just one example of electrolysis but the principle is the same





Another question: Why use molten NaCl? Why not simply use NaCl dissolved in water?					
Electrolysis of NaCl in water results in the same oxidation of Cl ⁻ to Cl ₂ , but H_2O is reduced much more readily than Na ⁺					
Anode: $2CI^{-}(aq) \rightarrow CI_{2}(g) + 2e^{-}$					
Possible cathode reactions:					
$2Na^{+}(aq) + 2e^{-} \rightarrow 2Na(s)$ $E^{o}_{red} = -2.71 V$					
$2H_2O + 2e^- \rightarrow H_2(g) + 2OH^ E_{red}^{\circ} = -0.83 V$					
• reduction of water is much more favorable					
 No Na(s) is formed but that is not so bad because Cl₂, H₂, and NaOH are industrially important. Therefore, the electrolysis of salt water is important 					



4. Quantitative Analysis
In redox reactions, electrons are formed or consumed in stoichiometric ratios. The amount of electrons can be measured by moles or by charge (Coulombs)
1 mole e ⁻ = 96480 Coulombs (= Faraday's constant)
However, physicists, electricians, and engineers usually measure electric current instead of electrons
1 ampere (A) = 1 Coulomb per second
Thus, it is possible to express A in terms of electrons:
1 mole e [.] = 96480 V
1.036 x 10 ⁻⁵ mol e ⁻ = 1 C
6.240 x 10^{18} e ⁻ = 1 C (using Avogadro number) that is; 1 A = 6.240 x 10^{18} e ⁻ per second



Cathode: $AI^{3+} + 3e^{-} \rightarrow AI(t)$ Anode: $C(s) + 2O^{2-} \rightarrow CO_2(g) + 4e^{-}$ The production of 1 mole AI requires 3 mole e⁻, so it is possible to calculate the Coulombs needed and CO_2 emissions (knowing the voltage): Current: $1 A = 1 C s^{-1}$ Voltage: $1 V = 1 J C^{-1}$ Power: 1 W (Watt) = $1 J s^{-1}$ Energy: 1 J = power x time = V x C Process is energy intensive and environmentally unfriendly.