English 9002A
Bibliography and Textual Studies
Michael Groden
October 21, 2013
Textual Sociology

next week:

Medieval Editing guest speaker: Richard Moll

Anne Hudson, "Middle English" in Editing Medieval Texts: English, French, and Latin Written in England edited by A.G. Rigg New York: AMS Press, 1977, pp. 34-57 copy in Leanne Trask's office, UC 180

important terms and names from Oct. 7

stemma
best-text editing
copy-text
W. W. Greg
copy-text editing
substantives
accidentals
emend
Fredson Bowers
final authorial intention
critical edition
definitive

important terms and names from today

sociology of texts social theory of editing D. F. McKenzie Jerome McGann

W.W. Greg – "The Rationale of Copy-Text" (1949, pub. 1950-51)

- 1) text = "substantives" and "accidentals"
- 2) editor chooses copy-text for accidentals (copytext: different concept from setting text)
- -> what author wrote
 - -- copy-text chosen for expediency
 - -- editor can then emend substantives
- 3) avoid "tyranny of the copy-text"
- 4) indifferent variants: follow copy-text
- 5) copy-text = text of "<u>divided</u> authority" but "<u>presumptive</u> authority"

Fredson Bowers (1950s-80s)

adapt methods designed for Shakespeare to other authors: Dekker, Dryden, Fielding, Hawthorne, Crane, Nabokov editing based on "New Bibliography" (analytical and descriptive) recreate "final authorial intention" "veil of print" "where are *Hamlet* and *Lycidas?*": in author's mind eventually substantive/accidental distinction dropped resulting edited text = eclectic text but founded on bibliographic principles

critical edition

result of scholarly editing:
critical edition
= edition with critically established text
that is, text is result of acts of criticism
(compare to "Norton Critical Edition")
not "definitive" (can't be)

Greg-Bowers editing as orthodoxy

Modern Language Association of America's Center for Editions of American Authors (CEAA)

later: Center for Scholarly Editions (CSE)
Carleton University's Centre for Editing Early
Canadian Texts

by 1960s-70s, by far the most common way of editing

critical edition

presentation:

modernize?
regularize?
clear text or marked-up text?
include apparatus? form of it?
lemma? or something different

works in extremely different versions

Shakespeare's King Lear Wordsworth's The Prelude

W.B. Yeats, "The Sorrow of Love" (1895, 1925)

The quarrel of the sparrows in the eaves, The full round moon and the star-laden sky, And the loud song of the ever-singing leaves, Had hid away earth's old and weary cry.

And then you came with those red mournful lips, And with you came the whole of the world's tean And all the sorrows of her labouring ships, And all the burden of her myriad years.

And now the sparrows warring in the eaves,
The curd-pale moon, the white stars in the sky,
And the loud chaunting of the unquiet leaves,
Are shaken with earth's old and weary cry.

1895 version

The brawling of a sparrow in the eaves, The brilliant moon and all the milky sky, And all that famous harmony of leaves, Had blotted out man's image and his cry.

A girl arose that had red moumful lips And seemed the greatness of the world in tears, Doomed like Odysseus and the labouring ships And proud as Priam murdered with his peers;

Arose, and on the instant clamorous eaves, A climbing moon upon an empty sky, And all that lamentation of the leaves, Could but compose man's image and his cry

1925 version

Greg-Bowers editing - assumptions

"final authorial intention"
G. Thomas Tanselle (1976): editor must
"reconstruct the text intended by the author"
unity, organic (New Criticism: "verbal icon,"
"well-wrought urn" but also author)
nature of "work" and "text"
Platonic, mystical
Yeats: "monuments of unageing intellect"
(cited by Tanselle)

Greg-Bowers editing - attitudes

"Many a literary critic has investigated the past ownership and mechanical condition of his secondhand automobile, or the pedigree and training of his dog, more thoroughly than he has looked into the qualifications of the text on which his theories rest." (Fredson Bowers, 1949)

"Every practicing critic, for the humility of his soul, ought to study the transmission of some appropriate text." (Fredson Bowers, 1949)

Greg-Bowers Editing - objections

- 1) claim of science and objectively-based judgment, but revert to intention
- concept of author: author not always "creative" (Hershel Parker)
- 3) author not alone: editors, publishers, friends,
 reviewers, actors, producers (Jerome McGann)
 F. Scott Fitzgerald and Thomas Wolfe
 + editor Maxwell Perkins
 Raymond Carver + editor Gordon Lish
 Sir Walter Scott + authorized scribes
- 4) avoids historical conditions (D. F. McKenzie)
- 5) why edit at all? (Randall McLeod)

Greg-Bowers editing - other alternatives

D. F. McKenzie's "sociology of texts":
sociological history of books and texts
Jerome McGann's "social theory of editing"
versions and versioning (Hans Zeller)
synoptic, genetic editions (Zailig Pollock's A. M. Klein)
multiple texts:

new Shakespeare editions, Marianne Moore not "veil of print" (Bowers), but drafts or versions hypertext editing:

Jerome McGann's "The Rationale of Hypertext" different assumptions -> different editions (and different texts):

author (writing, intention) / multiple producers / reception

D. F. McKenzie, *Bibliography and the Sociology of Texts* (1986, rpt. 1999)

From

"What the bibliographer is concerned with is pieces of paper on parchment covered with certain written or printed signs. With these signs he is concerned merely as arbitrary marks; their meaning is no business of his." (W. W. Greg [1932], cited in McKenzie, p. 9) D. F. McKenzie, Bibliography and the Sociology of Texts (1986, rpt. 1999)

To

"bibliography is the discipline that studies texts as recorded forms, and the processes of their transmission, including their production and reception" (p. 12)

"a sociology of texts" (pp. 13, 15)

"a complex relation of medium to meaning" (p. 18)

"Bibliography . . . can, in short, show the human presence in any recorded text." (p. 29)

D. F. McKenzie, Bibliography and the Sociology of Texts (1986, rpt. 1999)

He owns, with Toil, he wrought the following Scenes, But if they're naught ne'er spare him for his Pains: Damn him the more; have no Commiseration For Dulness on mature Deliberation.

(William Congreve, 1710)

He owns with toil he wrote the following scenes; But if they're naught, ne'er spare him for his pains: Damn him the more; have no commiseration For dullness on mature deliberation.

> (used as epigraph in Wimsatt and Beardsley, "The Intentional Fallacy," 1946)