# UNIT 5 ALLOMORPHY AND MORPHOPHONOLOGY

#### 5.1 Two kinds of phonological rules

Optimality (a leading idea in phonology)

- (1) Properties of UG and language-specific rules "conspire" to achieve optimal representations:
  - consonants may voice intervocalically, but devoice word-finally.
  - schwa-epenthesis driven by sonority profiles

But sometimes, two apparently identical sequences of phonemes will behave differently:

- (2) finger singer  $\sqrt{[fII].gər]}$  \*[fI.ŋər]  $\sqrt{[sII].gər]}$   $\sqrt{[sI.ŋər]}$
- (3)lightninglightening[lajt.niŋ][laj.tə.niŋ]
- (4) Syllabification (German)

The adjective *trocken* 'dry' in German is pronounced with a final syllabic nasal, which we will represent as a schwa-*n* sequence, thus: [trokən].

This adjective may combine with a variety of affixes, including two homophonous  $/-\partial r/$  affixes (making an agentive noun and the comparative adjective, respectively) and with two homophonous  $/-\partial n/$  affixes (making a verb and an inflected plural form of the adjective). This is illustrated here:

[trɔkən] → [trɔknən] 'to dry' [trɔkənən] 'dry' (plural form) [trɔknər] 'dryer' [trɔkənər] 'drier' (more dry) (e.g., a machine)

Although the affixes on the left are themselves homophonous with the affixes on the right, the fate of the schwa in the root is different depending on the affix chosen, sometimes deleting and sometimes being preserved.

## 5.1.1 Across The Board rules (= "Post-Lexical", Allophonic Rules)

#### FLAPPING (North American English)

(5) In North American English, the difference between /t/ and /d/ disappears between vowels when the first vowel is stressed. The resulting sound called a "flap" (written [D], IPA [r]) is a voiced sound, produced by tapping the tongue quickly against the roof of the mouth.

(6) 
$$/t,d/ \rightarrow [D] / \acute{V}_V$$

(7)	a.	ládder	/lædər/	→	[læDər]
	b.	látter	/lætər/	<b>→</b>	[læDər]
	c.	átom	/ætom/	<b>→</b>	[æDəm]
	d.	atómic	/ætómic/	<b>→</b>	[At <sup>h</sup> amIk]
	e.	rider	/ráydər/	<b>→</b>	[rayDər]
	f.	writer	/ráytər/	<b>→</b>	US: [rayDər] CAN: [rʌyDər] (see below)
	g.	I'll <u>ride h</u>	<u>er bike</u> tom	orrow.	[al rayDər bʌyk tʰəmarow ]

- (8) OBSERVATION: The *FLAPPING* rule (6) applies whenever it can i.e., wherever its *structural description* is met. It applies internal to a single morpheme (7a,c), across morpheme boundaries (7b,e,f) and even across words (in fast speech) (7g). We call it an *across-the-board rule*.
- Across-The-Board rules are so pervasive in our languages that we are typically unaware of their existence and effects it takes training to "hear" them.
  Some other examples of ATB rules in English
- (10) Canadian Raising:

There is a good deal of variation in the distribution of this rule: take 104-325B.

aj -> 
$$\Lambda j$$
 / \_ C  
æw ->  $\Lambda w$  [-voice]

e.g.	write	[rʌjt] vs.	ride	[rajd]
	wife	[wʌjf]	wives	[wajvz]
	fife	[fʌjf]	five	[fajv]
	house	[hʌws]	houses	[hæwzəz]

(11) Aspiration of Voiceless Stops:

 $/p,t,k/ \rightarrow /p^{h}, t^{h}, k^{h}/$  when simple syllable onsets

eg.	pit [p <sup>h</sup> ɪt]	VS.	spit: [spɪt] NOT: [sp <sup>h</sup> ɪt]
	cat [k <sup>h</sup> æt]	vs.	scat: [skæt] NOT: [k <sup>h</sup> æt]

(12)	Some	Some properties of Across The Board Rules:					
	a.	ATB rules can "create" new allophones, e.g.: [D], [p <sup>h</sup> ], [Λj] (None of these occur in underlying representations in English)					
	b.	Automatic, exceptionless.					
	c.	Insensitive to morphological structure:					
		monomorph:	ladder [læDər], house [hʌws]				
		bimorphemic:	latter [læDər], pouter [pʌwDər]				

## 5.1.2 Morphologically Sensitive Rules (Lexical or Morphophonemic Rules)

(13)	Orthography	Phonetic Tran	scription
	divine - divinity	dı. vájn	dı. <b>ví</b> . nı. tij
	serene – serenity	sə. <b>ríjn</b>	sə. <b>ré.</b> nı. tij
	profane – profanity	pro. <b>féjn</b>	pro . <b>fǽ</b> .n1 .tij
	deprave - depravity	dı. <b>préjv</b>	dı. prǽ. və. tij
	profound – profundity	prə. <b>fæwnd</b>	prə. <b>fún.</b> dı. tij
	vile – vilify	vájl	ví. l1. faj
	clear – clarify	klíjr	klé. r1. faj
	rite – ritual	<b>rájt</b> / rʌjt	<b>rí</b> . t <sup>j</sup> u . əl

(14) English vowels come in pairs of "long" and "short". In grade school, we learn to write these as: ī, ĭ respectively. Having taken phonetics (or even intro) we should now know that these symbols are somewhat misleading. Long vowels are "tense" diphthongs, while short vowels are "lax" (if you have the (old) O'Grady & Dobrovolsky textbook from 201, this is discussed on pp34-35). There is also something called a "Vowel Shift" going on (which we won't discuss in detail) which gives the following pairs:

orthography	tense	lax	orthography	tense	lax	
i	aj	Ι	(o)u	æw	υ	
e	ij	ε	0	ow	a,a	
а	ej	æ				

What is important for present purposes is that these vowels alternate productively.

# TRI-SYLLABIC LAXING (TSL)

(15) Long (tense) vowels correspond to short (lax) vowels three syllables from the right edge of certain words:

TRI-SYLLABIC LAXING:  $V \rightarrow [-tense] / \_ . \sigma . \sigma . #$ 

(16) Addition of the suffixes –ity, -ify, -ual triggers the TSL rule (15), deriving the alternations in vowel quality  $[aj/\Lambda j, ij, ej, æw] \sim [I, \varepsilon, æ, \upsilon]$ . But now consider the following words:

(17)	Orthography	Phonetic Transcri	ption
	nightingale	náj. Dm. gejl	* ní. Dīn. gejl
		náj. Dɪn. gejl	
	ivory	<b>áj.</b> və. rij	* <b>í.</b> və. rij
	stevedore	<b>stíj.</b> və. dowr	* sté. və. dowr

These are monomorphemic words which meet the Structural Description of the TSL rule (15), but the rule fails to apply.

(18) OBSERVATION: The *TRI-SYLLABIC LAXING* rule (15) cares about morphological structure — it does not apply in mono-morphemic words (nor does it apply across words). We call it a *morphologically sensitive rule*.

But that's not all...

(19)	Orthography		Phonetic Transcrip	tion (of righthand word)
	righteous - righteousness		ráj. čəs. nəs	* rí. čəs. nəs
		*	ráj. čəs. nəs	
	pirate - pirating		páj. rət	* <b>pí.</b> rə. tıŋ
	brave - bravery		bré. və. rij	* <b>brǽ.</b> və. rij
	profound – profoundnesses		prə. <b>fæwnd.</b> nəs	* prə. <b>fúnd.</b> nə. səz

Addition of the suffixes –ness, -ing, and –ry create derived words which meet the Structural Description of the TSL rule (15), but the rule (still) fails to apply.

- (20) OBSERVATION: Morphologically-sensitive rules like the *TRI-SYLLABIC LAXING* rule (15) care about which affixes are added to create the environment.
- (21) There are two types of affixes:

"CYCLIC" AFFIXES	Those affixes which trigger Morphologically sensitive rules;
"Class 1"	-ity, -ify, -ual, -ial, -ous, -ize, -(at)ion, -ic, $-y^{X \rightarrow N}$
"NON-CYCLIC" AFFIXES	Those affixes which don't trigger Morphsensitive rules.
"Class 2"	-ness, -less, -ful, -ly, -er, -ish, -ing, -ry, -y <sup>N→Adj</sup>

# Some other examples of Morphologically Sensitive Rules in English:

(22)	   	$/k/ \rightarrow /s/$ $/t/ \rightarrow /s/ /{cyclic suffixes}$ $/g/ \rightarrow /j/$							
	:	a.	public [p	oabli <u>k]</u>	vs. but	public-ize, public-ity [pʌblɪ <u>s</u> ] publicly, publication *[pʌblɪseiʃən]			
	1	b.	electric [	ilektrı <u>k</u> ]	vs.	electic-ity [ɛləktrɪ <u>s</u> əDij]			
	(	с.	democrat, recent [t]	vs. but	democracy, recency <sup>N</sup> "c" = [s] cat $\rightarrow$ catty <sup>Adj</sup> [t], % recentish/ recenty				
	(	d.	analog [a	enəlag]	vs. but	analogy <sup>N</sup> , log $\rightarrow$ logger, bog $\rightarrow$ boggy <sup>ADJ</sup>			
(23)	/	/s/ → /∫	)//{i	., <b>I</b> ,j}					
	:	a.	face, race	e [fejs], [rejs]	vs. but	facial, racial [fejʃəl], [rejʃəl] racer, racing [rejsər, rejsm] *[ʃ]			
	1	b.	erase [s	s]		eras-er [əréjsər] vs. eras-ure [əréj∫ər]			
(24)	2	Stress S	Shift:						
		• Stress moves rightwards in (long) examples with cyclic affixes							
			pro <b>FÓU</b>	$ND \rightarrow VS.$	produc pro <b>DÚ</b>	TÍv-ity Ctive-ness			
			clear	→ vs.	clarifi % CLA	CÁ-tion Árificatory			
(25)	Some pr	ropertie	es of Mor	phologically	Sensitiv	ve Rules:			
	a.	Sensitiv	ve to mor	phological st	ructure	and triggered only by certain morphemes			
	b. ]	Do not	apply to	non-derived (	(i.e., sin	nple) words.			
	c. 7	Typically relate one phoneme to another $[s] \rightarrow [\int], [k] \rightarrow [s]$							
	d. (	Often have lexically specified exceptions							

NOTE: Sometimes, a rule which is Morphologically-Sensitive in one language may be an Across-The-Board Rule in another language.

(26) Korean: [s] and  $[\int]$  are allophones of a single phoneme.

[∫inho]	'signal'	[us]	'upper'
[∫ilsu]	'mistake'	[sɛk]	'colour'

[∫ipsam]	'thirteen'	[sosəl]	'novel'
[∫ihap]	'game'	[son]	'hand'
[ma∫i]	'delicious'	[som]	'sack'
Korean:	/s/ → [ʃ] /	i	Everywhere, including morpheme-internally
			Creates allophone $[\int]$ which is not indep. phoneme
			Speakers need to be trained to hear the difference
English:	/s/ → [ʃ] /	i	Only Before Cyclic Affixes
			Easy to hear - relates two independent phonemes
			e.g., [sij] 'see' vs. [∫ij] 'she'

#### 5.2 Cyclic and Non-Cyclic Affixes in German

(27) The [+sonorant] consonants ([1, r, n, m] = "R") in German may be syllabic in certain environments. Simplifying slightly, we will assume that "syllabification" is realized as a schwa: (this is a simplification: syllabic r (/ər/) may "vocalize" to "a-schwa" = [v])

Handel [handəl] 'trade' Wesen [ve:zən] 'existence' Tafel [thafəl] 'table'

(28) When certain affixes are added to a word which would end in a syllabic resonant, the resonant may "desyllabify"

"Desyllabification"  $\mathfrak{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{O} / \mathbb{R} V$ 

Simple Form		Desyllabification		
Psychiater 'psychiatrist'	/psiçiatər/	psychiatr-ieren 'to institutionalize'	[psiçiatr–irən]	*[psiçiatər–irən]
Filter 'filter'	/filtər/	filtr-ieren 'to filter'	[filtr–irən]	*[filtər–irən]
Zylinder 'cylinder'	/cylindər/	zylindr-isch 'cylindrical'	[cylindr-iç]	*[cylindər–iç]
trocken	/trɔkən/	trockn-en 'to dry' [V]	[trəkn–ən]	*[trɔkən–ən]
'dry' [ADJ]		Trockn-er [N] 'drver (machine)'	[trəkn–ər]	*[trəkən–ər]

(29) But not all affixes trigger desyllabification:

Simple Form		Failure of De	syllabification	
Psychiater 'psychiatrist'	/psiçiatər/	Psychiater-in 'female psychiatrist'	[psiçiatər–in]	*[psiçiatr–in]
Filter 'filter'	/filtər/	filter-artig 'filter-like'	[filtər–a <sup>v</sup> tiç]	*[filtr–a <sup>®</sup> tiç]
Zylinder	/cylindər/	zylindr- artig	[cylindər– a <sup>e</sup> tiç]	*[cylindr- a <sup>v</sup> tiç]

'cylinder'		'cylinder-like'		
trocken 'dry' [ADJ]	/trəkən/	trocken-en 'dry' [Plural]	[trɔkən–ən]	*[trɔkn–ən]
		trocken-er	[trəkən–ər]	*[trɔkn–ər]
		'dry' [Masc Sg. N	om]	

(30) Compare in particular the forms of the adjective 'dry', where we are dealing with homophonous suffixes:

trocken	/trəkən/	trockn-	en 'to dry' [V	] [trəkn–ən]	*[trɔkən–ən]
'dry' [ADJ]		Trockn-er [N] 'dryer (machine)'		[trəkn–ər]	*[trɔkən–ər]
		trocker 'dry' []	1-en Plural]	[trɔkən–ən]	*[trɔkn–ən]
		trocker 'dry' []	n-er Masc Sg. Non	[trəkən–ər] n]	*[trəkn–ər]
Cyclic suffixe	es: -ie -is	ren ch	$N \rightarrow V$ $N \rightarrow Adj$ $Adi \rightarrow V$		

	-en -er	$\begin{array}{l} \operatorname{Adj} \rightarrow V \\ \operatorname{Adj} \rightarrow N \end{array}$
Non-Cyclic Suffixes	-in -artig -en -er	(N) Fem N → Adj (Adj) Plural (Adj) Masc, Sg. Nom

The cyclic versus non-cyclic distinctions are relevant also for coda devoicing and stress.

#### 5.3 RULE ORDERING, THE NECESSITY OF

(32)	Flapping and Raising:	writer	[rʌjDər] ,	pouter	[pʌwDər]
		rider	[rajDər] ,	powder	[pæwDər]

(33) OBSERVATIONS:

(31)

The Raising rule is applying.

But the Flapping rule has changed the form so that it no longer meets the structural description of the Raising rule. Specifically, the flap [D] is voiced and so on the surface, the vowel which raised is not before a voiceless consonant.

(34) We know that the "t" which should trigger raising WAS there (cf. write, pout) prior to the application of Flapping. So, what must have happened is that Raising applied first, when the "t" was still a "t". Then the flapping came later:

(35)	Derivations	writer	<u>rider</u>	
		/rajt-ər/	/rajd-ər/	Underlying Representations
		rʌjtər		Raising
		rʌjDər	rajDər	Flapping

• As linguists, we need not only to identify the phonological rules that occur in a given language, we must also identify the order in which they apply.

(	(36)	If we app	ly the rules	in the	wrong order.	we get the	wrong results
1	$\mathcal{S}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{J}$	II we upp	ry the rules	in the	mong order,	we get the	wrong results

write	ride	writer	<u>rider</u>	
/rajt/	/rajd/	/rajt-ər/	/rajd-ər/	Underlying Representations
		rajDər	rajDər	Flapping
rлjt	rajd			Raising
[rʌjt]	[rajd]	[rajDər]	[rajDər]	Output

• Note that this is not equivalent to no Raising rule (e.g., American) since the contrast still exists in the first pair.

#### 5.4 The Cycle: Some Rule Ordering Comes From The Morphology

(37)	a.	clear - clarify:	klijr	<b>klε.</b> r1. faj
	b.	vile - vilify:	vajl	<b>vı.</b> lı. faj

TSL (above) has applied in the second words since:

(a)	-ify is cyclic
(b)	the struct. descr. is met
	(/kli/- is 3 $\sigma$ from end)

Now consider the following:

(38)	a.	clarification	klɛ. rɪ. fɪ. <b>k<sup>h</sup>ej.</b> šən	* klij. rɪ. fɪ. <b>k<sup>h</sup>ej.</b> šən
	b.	vilification	vı. lı. fı. <b>k<sup>h</sup>ej.</b> šən	* vaj. 11. f1. <b>k<sup>h</sup>ej.</b> šən

(39) If we look at the underlying phonological representation of the whole words, we notice two things:

/kli. ri. <u>faj.</u> kej. šən / / vaj. li. <u>faj</u>. kej. šən /

- (a) TSL should apply to the underlined syllables. It does.
- (b) TSL should  $\dot{NOT}$  apply to the initial syllables. It does. ???
- (40) The morphological structure (i.e., derivation) is important *clarification* is not made from *clear*, but rather from *clarfiy* By the time you get to *clarification*, TSL has already applied:

<i>clarification</i> [[[clear] - ify] -cation]	<i>vilification</i> [[[vile] - ify] -cation]	Morph. Structure <sup>1</sup>
/ klijr /	/ vajl /	Roots <u>MS Rules Do Not Apply</u> (Underlying Representation)
klijr + / ifaj / <b>kler</b> 1faj	vajl + / ifaj / <b>vil</b> 1faj	"Cycle 1" TSL/Laxing
klerıfaj + / kejšən / klerı fi kejšən	vılıfaj + / kejšən / vılı <b>fı</b> kejšən	"Cycle 2" Laxing
klerıfıkejšən	vılıfıkejšən	Outputs

Note: • There is no application of TSL on the root, i.e. prior to Cycle 1 We have seen above that cyclic rules do not apply root-internally.

#### 5.5 Stress – More on the Cycle

(41) The simplified English Stress Rule

If the penultimate syllable (second from the end) is heavy  $(C_0V: \text{ or } C_0VC)^2$ , then assign it stress. Otherwise, stress the antepenultimate (third from the end) syllable. *roughly*:

V → [-	+stress] /	(light $\sigma$ ) $\sigma$ #		
a.	a.gén.da	con.sís.tent	sta.lág.mite	e.léc.trode
b.	a.mé.ri.ca	cóm.pe.tent	má.la.chite	plá.ti.node

(42) Secondary Stress Rule (long words).

Put secondary stress on the first syllable and every second one thereafter.

(43) The Clash Rule:

Don't have two stressed syllables in a row

V	→	V	/	V
[+stress]	]	[-stress]		[+stress]

(44) hàmamèlidánthemum Tàtamagóuchi, Àpalàchicóla

hamamelidanthemum		
hamameli <b>dán</b> themum		
hàm a mè lidánthemum		

Tatamagouchi Tatama **g[ú:]** chi **Tà** ta **mà** g[ú:] chi

Main Stress Secondary Stress

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> There aren't enough kinds of brackets to go around. Here we are using [square brackets] to indicate morphological structure, NOT phonetic representations. The bracket notation is equivalent to tree notations as we have discussed.

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  C<sub>0</sub> means "a sequence of zero or more consonants". CV: means a syllable with a long vowel (including diphthongs).

	Tàta <b>ma</b> g[ú:] chi	Clash
hàmamèlidánthemum	Tàtamag[ú:]chi	Output

(45) Secondary Stress in Morphologically Complex Words

so <b>lì</b> di fi <b>cá</b> tion	NOT	sò li dì fi cá tion	cf. Àpaláchicóla
o <b>rì</b> gi <b>ná</b> li ty	NOT	<b>ò</b> ri gi <b>ná</b> li ty	cf. Tàtamagóuchi
pe <b>rì</b> phe <b>rá</b> li ty	NOT	<b>pè</b> ri phe <b>rá</b> li ty	

Is the Secondary stress rule just wrong?

(46) These words all have secondary stress exactly where main stress goes *on the stem* i.e., prior to addition of the last affix:

so lí di fy o rí gi nal pe rí phe ral

(47) Why is there a tight connection between Morphologically Sensitive Rules and "Cyclic" Affixes? It's because the cyclic affixes define a "cycle": each time you add a "cyclic" affix, you trigger a round or *cycle* of Morphologically Sensitive rules.

originality

origin	Root [No MS Rule Application]
origin + al	Cycle 1 (by virtue of addition of cyclic affix)
o <b>rí</b> gi nal	MAIN STRESS RULE
oríginal + ity	Cycle 2 (by virtue of addition of cyclic affix)
orígi <b>ná</b> lity	MAIN STRESS RULE (Yes, again – it applies on each "cycle")
orìginálity	Output. Convention: the real "main" stress is the last stress added, all others are "demoted" to secondary stress.

- (48) An extra thing to note. Monomorphemic words in English have stress, too, as we saw above. But Morphologically-Sensitive rules do not apply to single morphemes. We need to be able to say that some rules (such as Main Stress in English) are simultaneously both Morphologically-Sensitive (meaning they apply every time a cyclic affix is added) *and* ATB so that they apply to monomorphemic words (and in words with only non-cyclic affixes).
- (49) More on Stress and the Cycle:

For some dialects of English, there is a difference in the realization of the second vowel in:

condensation =  $cond[\varepsilon]$  nsátion compensation =  $comp[\vartheta]$ nsátion

It has been argued that this (somewhat elusive) difference comes from the cyclic nature of stress assignment in English, coupled with the idea that those vowels which have never been stressed reduce to schwa [ $\mathfrak{p}$ ]. We must also assume that some roots come with their own stress pattern.

condensation	compensation	
/kandéns/	/ kampensejt /	Roots (Underlying Representation) <u>MS Rules Do Not Apply</u>
kandéns + / ej∫ən /	kampensejt + / ən / kampensej(ən	"Cycle 1" $/t/ \rightarrow /s//$ cyclic affixes (prev. H.O.)
kandén <b>séj</b> ∫ən	kampen séj ∫ən	Stress
<b>kàn</b> dếnséj∫ən 	<b>kàm</b> penséj∫ən kàm <b>pən</b> séi∫ən	ATB rules secondary stress $V \rightarrow 2$ (when V unstressed)
kàn <b>dɛn</b> séj∫ən		Clash
[ kàndɛnséj∫ən ]	[ kàmpənséj∫ən ]	Outputs

Note that the vowel reduction rule (an ATB rule), even though it is not the last rule in the derivation, must apply only after the stress rules have had a chance to apply. Otherwise, it could have reduced various vowels to schwa *before they had a chance to get stress*.

THE	THE POST-CYCLIC CONVENTION			
	All ATB rules apply after the cycles (if any) have triggered MS-Rules.			
(50)	) Implication: Derivations always have the following form:			
	/ root /	Underlying form (e.g., of root) NO RULES HERE		
	root <b>+ /affix1</b> /	Cycle 1 iff affix1 is cyclic Morphologically Sensitive Rules		
	root-aff1 +/affix2/	Cycle 2 iff affix2 is cyclic Morphologically Sensitive Rules again		
	+ /affix n/	Cycle <i>n</i> iff affix <i>n</i> is cyclic Morphologically Sensitive Rules again		
	root-aff1 affn	ATB Rules APPLY HERE		
	[phonetic form]	Output		

# 5.6 The Strict Cycle Condition

(51) The pair: omen [owmon] vs. ominous [aminos] shows that -ous is cyclic [TSL] So does: The pair: right [rʌjt] vs. righteous [rʌjčəs] /t/ → /č/ / \_\_\_ Cyclic Suffix.<sup>3</sup>

Given that righteous contains a cyclic affix, why does TSL not apply in righteousness?

righteousness

/rajt/	Root [No MS Rule Application]
rajt + /əs/	Cycle 1 (by virtue of addition of cyclic affix)
rajčəs	/t/ $\rightarrow$ /č/
	TSL (Only two syllables)
rajčəs + /nɛs/	No Cycle Triggered (-ness is not cyclic)
****	TSL: Can't apply – No MS Rule Application
rajčəsnes	ATB Rules (no more affixation)
rʌjčəsnes	Canadian Raising
rʌjčəsnəs	Main Stress, Vowel Reduction (V → ə)
[rʌ́jčəsnəs]	OUTPUT

#### 5.7 ALLOMORPHY VERSUS PHONOLOGY

## How does our new-found knowledge of phonology affect our lexical entries?

Flapping:	write	[rʌjt]	writ-er	[rʌjD-ər]
	ride	[rajd]	rid-er	[rajD-ər]
	lead	[lijd]	lead-er	[lijD-ər]
	fight	[fʌjt]	fight-er	[fʌjD-ər]
	Flapping:	Flapping: write ride lead fight	Flapping:write[rʌjt]ride[rajd]lead[lijd]fight[fʌjt]	Flapping:write[rʌjt]writ-erride[rajd]rid-erlead[lijd]lead-erfight[fʌjt]fight-er

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Some people say [ənæləgəs] instead of [ənæləjəs] for *analogous*. Recall from the previous handout that lexical exceptions are one of the defining properties of Morphologically-Sensitive Rules.

label	WRITE	FIGHT
phon. &	[rʌjD] /V[-stress]	[fʌjD] /V[-stress]
allom.	[rʌjt] / <elsewhere></elsewhere>	[f <sub>Ajt</sub> ] / <elsewhere></elsewhere>
meaning	to form words/letters on a surface	to take part in a physical struggle
subcat	[_]	[]
category	V	V
Arg Str.	<ag (go)="" <(th),="">&gt;</ag>	<ag <(th)="">&gt;</ag>

(53) Would we want to have lexical entries like the following?

## NO! Why not?

It would be redundant to write this for every root ending in a t or d.

We would be missing a robust generalization, a fact about English.

But more importantly, it is PREDICTABLE. Thus, if I make up a new word, say, to spoot [spuwt], the suffixed form will be [spuwDər]. The information that goes in lexical entries is only the arbitrary, unpredictable, idiosyncratic information about an individual morpheme. It is the information that the speaker of a given language (in this case English) must LEARN individually for each morpheme, and memorize.

# Thus, if an alternation is predictable by a phonological rule, then that alternation has no business being in a lexical entry.

(go back to Unit 1 notes and Assignment 1 if this is not clear)

Phonological rules are part of the grammar, but they are not part of the lexicon.

- (54) The (True) Allomorphy Criteria
  - (i) Complementary distribution
  - (ii) Not predictable from general phonological rules
- (55) The lesson
  - Identifying an alternation is a first step. Whenever we posit phonologicallyconditioned allomorphy, we should wonder if investigation of the phonology will reveal a deeper analysis.
  - To know if an alternation is allomorphy or not requires understanding the phonology of the language